

CANADA COAL INC.

Management Discussion and Analysis For The Six Months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012

May 22, 2013

The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the unaudited condensed consolidated interim financial statements for the six months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 and the audited consolidated financial statements for the years ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 and related notes included therein. All monetary amounts, unless otherwise indicated, are expressed in Canadian dollars. Additional regulatory filings for the Company can be found on the SEDAR website at www.sedar.com.

Forward-Looking Statements

Certain statements contained in this document constitute "forward-looking statements". When used in this document, the words "may", "would", "could", "will", "intend", "plan", "propose", "anticipate", "believe", "forecast", "estimate", "expect" and similar expressions, as they relate to the Company or its management, are intended to identify forward-looking statements. Such statements reflect the Company's current views with respect to future events and are subject to certain risks, uncertainties and assumptions. Many factors could cause the Company's actual results, performance or achievements to be materially different from any future results, performance or achievements that may be expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. Given these risks and uncertainties, readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on such forward-looking statements. The Company does not intend, and does not assume any obligation, to update any such factors or to publicly announce the result of any revisions to any of the forward-looking statements contained herein to reflect future results, events or developments.

Overview

Canada Coal Inc. ("Canada Coal") is a junior resource mining company focussed on the acquisition and exploration of coal properties in Nunavut, Canada. The Company was incorporated on August 26, 2010 under the Business Corporation Act (Ontario) under the name Pacific Coal Corp. On April 12, 2011, the Company changed its name to Canada Coal Inc.

On November 4, 2011, the Company entered into an agreement with Mercury Capital Limited ("Mercury Capital") in respect to a proposed business combination to be effected by way of an amalgamation of the parties. Under the terms of the agreement, holders of common shares and other securities such as options and warrants of Canada Coal and Mercury Capital, received common shares and other securities of the resulting issuer on a one for one basis. The amalgamation constituted a qualifying transaction for Mercury Capital as defined in Policy 2.4 of the Exchange's Corporate Finance Manual.

As a result of the transaction, the former shareholders of the original Canada Coal owned 92% of the outstanding shares of the amalgamated entity. In accordance with IFRS 3, Business Combinations, the substance of the transaction is a reverse acquisition of a non-operating company. The transaction was accounted for as a capital transaction with the original Canada Coal being identified as the acquirer. The resulting financial statements are presented as a continuance of the original Canada Coal.

Canada Coal Inc. was the resulting issuer from the amalgamation and upon completion of the transaction, was considered a Tier I mining issuer. The amalgamation was effective February 23, 2012 and the Company began trading on the TSX Venture Exchange on February 29, 2012.

Overall Performance

The Company incurred a net loss for the six months ended March 31, 2013 of \$232,143 compared with a net loss of \$1,187,770 in the prior year. The two largest contributors to the improvement in net loss are decreases in share based compensation of \$746,779 and reverse take-over transaction costs of \$1,187,770.

In December 2012, the Company announced that it had filed an updated independent technical report for its Nunavut Coal Properties. The technical report, entitled "Updated Independent Technical Report, the Nunavut Coal Project" and dated November 26th, 2012 was prepared by DMT Geosciences Ltd. ("DMT") of Calgary Alberta. The report details the results of the extensive 2012 mapping and sampling program. The report is available on the Company's website and highlights: consistently low sulphur and low ash, high quality thermal coal in samples; multiple highly prospective coal zones in close proximity to tidewater; and high priority drill targets to further define coal deposits in Phase 2 program.

Canada Coal is currently examining the potential for thermal coal on its arctic coal licenses as a solution for the current energy challenges in Nunavut. The Company is actively evaluating various fuel power generation technologies including coal water slurry. The Company has retained Tetra Tech Wardrop Inc. to assist in this analysis.

The Company prepared and submitted the required permitting applications for the proposed Phase 2 work program and continues to respond to requests for additional information.

Once the Company secures the required financing and permits, it will proceed with the proposed Phase 2 exploration drilling program which will target four to six high priority coal zones with the aim of delineating coal of sufficient continuity, rank, and quantity to develop a NI 43-101 compliant coal resource estimate.

The Company also continues to identify and evaluate other potential coal acquisition opportunities to complement its existing Nunavut coal licence assets.

Operating Activities

On September 15, 2010, the Company entered into an agreement with Weststar Resources Corp. ("Weststar") to purchase the outstanding capital of Weststar's wholly-owned subsidiary, Canadian Sovereign Coal Corp. ("CSCC"). CSCC's only asset was an 80% interest in nine coal exploration licences and eight coal exploration licence applications for approximately 585,397 acres of land located in Ellesmere Island, Nunavut. Weststar's 80% interest in the claims was acquired pursuant to a Letter of Intent dated March 18, 2009 between Hunter Exploration Group ("Hunter") and Weststar.

On September 20, 2010, the Company, Weststar and Hunter entered into an agreement whereby Weststar was released from any obligations or commitments under the original Letter of Intent dated March 18, 2009 and 100% interest in the coal licenses and licence applications was transferred to CSCC. Hunter retained a 2% royalty on the licences of which 1% can be purchased by the Company for \$1,000,000. Details of the consideration for the acquisition are outlined in the notes to the consolidated financial statements.

On April 12, 2011, the Company entered into an agreement to purchase all of the issued and outstanding capital of 5200 Nunavut Ltd. ("5200") from arms length third party vendors. The only assets held by 5200 were interests in seven coal exploration licences representing approximately 157,753 acres of land located in Nunavut. The consideration for the acquisition is outlined in the notes to the consolidated financial statements.

The Company also applied for 51 additional coal exploration licences representing approximately 1,699,477 acres in Nunavut. These licenses were subsequently approved.

Operating Activities (Continued)

Canada Coal's coal licences are located on Ellesmere Island and Axel Heiberg Islands, Nunavut Territory, Canada. The project currently consists of 75 coal licences geographically distributed as nine discrete exploration areas occupying a total of 989,521 hectares. The licences are held by the Company's two wholly owned subsidiaries 5200 Nunavut Ltd. and Canadian Sovereign Coal Corp. The exploration areas consist of: Fosheim Peninsula, Sor Fiord/Stenkul Fiord, Strathcona Fiord, Vesle Fiord/South Fosheim, May Point, Bache Peninsula, Li Fiord, Good Friday Bay and Mokka Fiord.

The area covered by the Company's coal licences has been the subject of previous exploration work conducted primarily between 1981-1983 by Gulf, Petro Canada and Utah. Fosheim Peninsula was the most widely explored area. Management does not believe that the previous exploration activity included any drilling. While the previous exploration activity resulted in various significant resource estimates, none of them were 43-101 compliant.

The Company acquired a digital elevation model (DEM) for the Fosheim Peninsula and Sor Fiord/Stenkul Fiord areas in September 2011 from PhotoSat of Vancouver, BC. Elevation grids (2.5m prisms) and contours (5/25/50/100) were produced from high resolution stereo satellite photos. The digital elevation mapping is being utilized to assist with project planning.

The Company contracted Associated Geosciences Ltd., Calgary, Alberta, to prepare a 43-101 for the coal assets acquired. As part of the 43-101 process, Associated Geosciences took samples during its on-site visit to Nunavut. The sample results confirm historic reports that the coals in the area covered by the Company's coal licenses range in rank from high volatile bituminous 'C' to lignite. The samples indicated coals that were generally low in ash (5-10%) and sulphur levels of <0.5%, although occasionally exhibit moderate ash values. The coals are considered to be suitable for use as a high quality thermal coal.

The completed 43-101 report is available on SEDAR. The Report indicated that there is coal present in sufficient quantity and quality to merit further evaluation through an aggressive work program. The Fosheim Peninsula was identified as a priority target for a proposed work program based on the level of historical exploration, the region's potential for higher rank coal occurrences, and the area's suitability for open-pit mining. The Report recommended a two phased exploration program. Phase I would be primarily focused on reconnaissance including mapping and sampling to delineate and prioritize targets.

Phase 2 was contingent on the results of Phase I and would consist of a drilling program to move the project forward to defining 43-101 compliant coal resources if possible.

The Company completed the first round of community consultation in Nunavut during October 2011 and the second round in June 2012.

Also in June 2012, the Company received all its required permits to commence its Phase I exploration program. The work program focused on two main objectives: 1) detailed geologic mapping of the Eureka Sound Formation on Ellesmere Island, within licence blocks on Fosheim Peninsula, Bache Peninsula, Strathcona Fiord and Vesle Fiord, and 2) strategic sampling to determine rank and continuity of known and newly discovered coal zones.

The field exploration was performed over a 6 week period between June 16th, 2012 and July 30th, 2012. Personnel were positioned at Environment Canada's Eureka Weather Station and utilized helicopter support to access the project area. The crew included two teams of geologists, a geophysics team, a heritage team (consisting of a paleontologist and archaeologist), local guides, and aircraft personnel.

Sites for detailed geologic mapping and strategic sampling were assessed based on a priority ranking system established following several weeks of field prospecting. Priority ranking was based on continuity of an exposure, structural complexity of an area, and/or quality control sampling. Two geology teams assessed these sites along with a paleontologist, archaeologist, and local guide to mitigate adverse

Operating Activities (Continued)

impacts to heritage resources and the environment. Integration of mapping and sampling results to create a detailed geological interpretation of the project area is ongoing.

Throughout the field program 39 of the Company's 75 total coal exploration licence blocks were assessed including: 22 licence blocks on Fosheim Peninsula, 8 licence blocks on Bache Peninsula, 1 licence block on Strathcona Fiord, and 8 licence blocks on Vesle Fiord. Fosheim Peninsula was the primary exploration target.

Exploration of these licence blocks included collection at 135 sample locations with 285 individual samples taken in total. Upon completion of the field program, samples were delivered to Birtley Coal & Minerals Testing Division of Calgary, Alberta for testing. Results were classified according to ASTM Standards and range from subbituminous A coal to lignite B. The study identified extensive zones of low-sulphur, low-ash, subbituminous coal, suitable for use as thermal coal.

As a result of the mapping and sampling program, several prospective coal zones have been identified for further exploration. Type samples from each of the zones are listed in the table below.

Target Coal Zones with Surface Sample Highlights*										
Zone	Sample	Seam Thickness (m)	ADM% (adb)	RM% (adb)	ASH% (db)	VOL% (db)	FC% (db)	S% (db)	BTU/LB (db)	SG
1	2012-AGL-FN-003	7.8	6.82	8.76	5.25	41.20	53.55	0.26	11,530	1.38
	2012-AGL-FN-005	5.0	7.29	6.61	2.71	42.29	55.00	0.15	11,476	1.38
	2012-AGL-FN-001	3.1	13.38	7.59	4.26	39.30	56.44	0.29	11,930	1.35
2	2012-AGL-FN-121	3.3	10.83	5.29	3.98	40.96	55.07	0.25	11,809	1.38
	2012-AGL-FN-123	2.5	14.07	7.66	4.48	42.70	52.82	0.27	11,344	1.39
3	2012-AGL-FN-136	2.0	11.73	1.05	9.45	39.49	51.06	0.25	11,017	1.44
	2012-AGL-FN-138	2.4	11.32	3.69	6.59	40.18	53.24	0.25	11,635	1.42
4	2012-AGL-FN-217	3.0	19.16	3.30	11.46	35.54	53.00	0.32	10,927	1.42
	2012-AGL-FN-218	4.0	16.27	3.41	2.98	40.93	56.10	0.20	11,858	1.37
	2012-AGL-FN-211	3.3	18.81	4.52	5.99	37.65	56.35	0.32	11,666	1.39

*Multiple seams are present in all locations. Selected samples reported here only, results are averaged per seam.

Zone 1, located near the center of the Fosheim Peninsula area, consists of multiple gently-dipping coal seams including a 7.8 meter and a 5 meter seam along with several smaller seams exposed in a creek cut. Additional seams outcrop to the east and west of the zone. The zone has been traced over a 5 kilometer strike length and is suspected to extend further through a zone of tundra cover.

Zones 2 and 3 occur in the southern portion of the Fosheim Peninsula. Zone 2 is characterized by broad rolling hills exposing at least eight thin seams at surface (2-3 meters), and the Zone 3 is characterized by higher relief with continuous zones of coal exposed in creek cuts. Coal quality throughout both zones is consistently subbituminous A and additional seams at depth are likely to be encountered through drilling based on regional mapping. Zones 2 and 3 have been mapped over 6 and 5 kilometer strike lengths, respectively.

Zone 4 is located in the northern Fosheim Peninsula region, and is potentially a continuation of Zone 1. Surface exposures in the area are not abundant; however multiple seams on the order of 3-4 meters were evaluated along a creek cut. The Company intends to drill several wildcat holes in the area to evaluate the potential for additional coal at depth.

Operating Activities (Continued)

Secondary objectives achieved during the exploration program included geophysical studies and heritage assessments. Geophysical permafrost studies using ground penetrating radar and ground resistivity equipment were conducted on potential airstrip locales in preparation for future programs and heritage studies were conducted to assist with future project planning.

The Company also commissioned a logistics study to report on viable transport alternatives for future coal operations. The Ice and Marine Shipping Assessment conducted by Enfotec Technical Services is available on the Company's website. The focus of the report was to provide a preliminary ice study and analysis of marine accessibility of Ellesmere Island's West Fosheim Peninsula. The findings of the report in relation to ice conditions and possible shipping scenarios are in line with the Company's expectations. Shipping windows of 2, 3 and 6 months have been considered using Polar Class 3 vessels to transport up to 5.25 million tons per year. A more detailed shipping study, hydrographic surveying and port infrastructure analysis are required to gain a better understanding of the potential logistical alternatives.

The Company has retained Tetra Tech Wardrop Inc., to assist the Company in assessing options for arctic mining operations on its Nunavut Coal licences. Tetra Tech will also evaluate Coal Water Slurry technology in order to assess the possible future use of the Company's high grade thermal coal deposits as an alternative energy source in Nunavut. These technologies have the potential to create a diesel-like product that can be easily transported and utilized in Nunavut.

In December 2012, the Company announced that it had filed an updated independent technical report for its Nunavut Coal Properties. The technical report, entitled "Updated Independent Technical Report, the Nunavut Coal Project" and dated November 26th, 2012 was prepared by DMT Geosciences Ltd. ("DMT") of Calgary Alberta. The report details the results of extensive 2012 mapping and sampling program. The report is available on the Company's website and highlights: high priority drill targets to further define coal deposits in Phase 2 program; multiple highly prospective coal zones in close proximity to tidewater; and consistently low sulphur and low ash, high quality thermal coal in samples.

Once the Company secures the required financing and permits, the proposed Phase 2 exploration drilling program will target four to six high priority coal zones with the aim of delineating coal of sufficient continuity, rank, and quantity to develop a NI 43-101 compliant coal resource estimate. At present, Canada Coal has applied to permit 80 drill holes including 30 primary drill holes, 37 secondary drill holes, and 14 wildcat holes and intends to drill approximately 9,000 m of core as part of the proposed Phase 2 exploration program.

The Company has applied for an additional 11 coal exploration licences within the Fosheim Peninsula region. Once granted, these licences will add an additional 280,000 acres in key areas surrounding planned exploration drill targets, taking the Company's total coal licence holdings to 86 licences covering approximately 2,722,627 acres. The Company was granted the exploration licences in April 2013.

As at March 31, 2013, the Company incurred \$280,022 in acquisition costs and \$4,445,925 in deferred exploration costs.

Results of Operations

The results of operations reflect the overhead costs incurred for coal asset acquisitions and exploration expenses incurred by the Company to maintain good standing with the various regulatory authorities and to provide an administrative infrastructure to manage the acquisition, exploration and financing activities of the Company. General and administrative costs can be expected to increase or decrease in relation to the changes in activity required as asset acquisitions and exploration continues. As at March 31, 2013, the Company had not recorded any significant revenues from its projects.

Results of Operations (Continued)

During the six months ended March 31, 2012, the Company focussed on raising the additional required equity to finance its Phase I work program, completing the going public transaction with Mercury Capital, engaging in community consultations in Nunavut and obtaining land use permits. During the six months ended March 31, 2013, the Company released the results of its 2012 Phase 1 work program, obtained an updated 43-101, applied for the necessary Phase 2 permits and retained consultants to review options for arctic mining operations and fuel power generation technologies.

The Company incurred a net loss for the three months ended March 31, 2013 of \$133,314 compared with a net loss of \$1,493,815 in the prior year. Explanations of significant variances related to the \$1,360,501 decrease in net loss are provided below:

- A decrease of \$90,000 in management fees as 2012 management fees included a \$90,000 signing bonus for the hiring of the new CEO in early 2012.
- A increase of \$9,750 in consulting fees as the Company utilized consultants to identify business and funding opportunities.
- An increase in \$8,360 in office, rent and miscellaneous expenses predominantly as a result of rent payments which began in February 2012, Director and Officer liability insurance premiums which began in April 2012 and other office expenses including phone and photocopying.
- A decrease of \$76,766 in professional fees as the prior year results included audit and legal fees related to the going public transaction. The decrease is partially offset by an increase in tax return preparation fees for the Company and its subsidiaries in fiscal 2013.
- An increase of \$41,099 in property investigation costs as the Company incurred expenses to evaluate other prospective coal property opportunities.
- A decrease in transfer agent and filing fees of \$38,831 as the Company paid fees related to the going public transaction in fiscal 2012.
- A decrease in investment income of \$12,240 as the Company had lower interest earning cash balances during the three months ended March 31, 2013.
- A decrease of \$1,187,770 in reverse take-over transaction cost as the Company incurred a loss on the reverse take-over of Mercury Capital in February 2012.
- Deferred income tax recovery of \$42,608 and corresponding decrease in the deferred tax liability is primarily attributable to the loss incurred during the period which results in a deferred tax asset that can be utilized in subsequent taxation years.

Results of Operations (Continued)

The Company incurred a net loss for the six months ended March 31, 2013 of \$232,143 compared with a net loss of \$2,399,291 in the prior year. Explanations of significant variances related to the \$2,167,148 decrease in net loss are provided below:

- A decrease of \$87,000 in management fees as the fiscal 2012 expense included a \$90,000 signing bonus to the CEO hired in early 2012 and higher monthly fees paid to the Chairman and CFO. Effective January 1, 2012, these individuals voluntarily reduced their fees from \$12,000 and \$8,000 to \$8,000 and \$5,000 per month respectively. These 2012 higher fees were offset by the inclusion of a full six months of fees for the current CEO in 2013.
- A decrease in share based compensation of \$746,779 as the Company did not grant any stock options in fiscal 2013.

Explanations for significant variances including increases of \$29,750 in consulting fees, \$16,592 in office, rent and miscellaneous expenses and \$41,099 in property investigation costs and decreases of \$132,128 in professional fees, \$46,851 in transfer agent and filing fees, \$18,325 in interest income and \$1,187,770 in reverse take-over transaction costs were the same as those provided in the variance analysis discussion for the three months ended March 31, 2013.

Summary of Quarterly Results

The following table sets out selected quarterly information for the time periods available. Net loss from operations and Net Loss are the same for all quarters shown.

Three Months Ended	March 31, 2013	December 31, 2012	September 30, 2012	June 30, 2012
		\$	\$	\$
Revenue (investment income)	7,835	8,766	12,428	19,930
Net Loss	133,314	98,829	743,687	121,349
Net Loss per common share	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00
Three Months Ended	March 31, 2012	December 31, 2011	September 30, 2011	June 30, 2011
	\$	\$	\$	\$
Revenue (investment income)	20,075	14,851	10,667	1,065
Net Loss	1,493,815	905,476	201,895	144,838
Net Loss per common share	0.04	0.03	0.01	0.01

Liquidity and Capital Resources

The Company's cash position at March 31, 2013 was \$2,367,338 compared with a cash balance of \$3,187,279 at September 30, 2012.

At March 31, 2013 the Company had working capital of \$2,283,752 compared to a working capital balance of \$3,020,828 at September 30, 2012. For the six months ended March 31, 2013, the Company utilized \$269,293 for operating activities and \$550,648 for exploration and evaluation expenditures and investments.

While the Company has sufficient funds to cover administrative expenditures, it will need to raise additional cash to fund its Phase 2 work programs.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

There were no material credit facilities in place as at March 31, 2013.

Any commitments to pay cash or issue shares are disclosed in the notes to the condensed consolidated interim financial statements.

Related Party Transactions

For the six months ended March 31, 2013, the Company entered into the following related party transactions:

- a) Incurred management fees of \$48,000 (2012: \$60,000) to West Oak Capital Partners Inc., a company controlled by R. B. Duncan, CEO of the Company to February 23, 2012 and subsequently Executive Chairman of the Board.
- b) Incurred management fees of \$48,000 (2012: \$114,000) to Abraham Jonker, CEO of the Company as of February 23, 2012.
- c) Incurred management fees of \$30,000 (2012: \$39,000) to Olga Nikitovic (CFO).
- d) Incurred legal fees from Aird & Berlis LLP. Tom Fenton (Director and Corporate Secretary) is a Partner with Aird & Berlis LLP. General corporate legal fees of \$6,560 (2012: \$127,110) are reflected as professional fees and fees related to private placement throughout the year of \$Nil (2012: \$40,890) are reflected as share issue costs. Legal fees included in accounts payable at March 31, 2013 are \$4,969 (2012: \$10,399).
- e) Incurred rent costs of \$9,000 (2012: \$3,000) for shared office space with Evolving Gold Corp. The Company and Evolving Gold share one common officer and two common directors.

During the period, the Company made an investment in Nord Iron Mines Inc., a company related by virtue of one common officer and director.

The compensation for key management personnel is identified above in (a), (b) and (c). The Company does not pay any health or post employment benefits. The fair value of share based compensation granted to key management or directors for the six months ended March 31, 2013 was \$Nil (2012: \$746,779).

Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

The Company is not a party to any off balance sheet arrangements or transactions.

Critical Accounting Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in accordance with IFRS requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from management's estimates.

Critical Accounting Estimates (Continued)

The areas which require management to make significant judgments, estimates and assumptions in determining carrying values include, but are not limited to:

Assets' carrying values and impairment charges

In the determination of carrying values and impairment charges, management looks at the higher of recoverable amount or fair value less costs to sell in the case of assets and at objective evidence, significant or prolonged decline of fair value on financial assets indicating impairment. These determinations and their individual assumptions require that management make a decision based on the best available information at each reporting period.

Capitalization of exploration and evaluation costs

Management has determined that exploration and evaluation costs incurred during the year have future economic benefits and are economically recoverable. In making this judgment, management has assessed various sources of information including but not limited to the geologic and metallurgic information, proximity of operating facilities, operating management expertise and existing permits.

Impairment of exploration and evaluation assets

While assessing whether any indications of impairment exist for exploration and evaluation assets, consideration is given to both external and internal sources of information. Information the Company considers includes changes in the market, economic and legal environment in which the Company operates that are not within its control that could affect the recoverable amount of exploration and evaluation assets. Internal sources of information include the manner in which exploration and evaluation assets are being used or are expected to be used and indications of expected economic performance of the assets. Estimates include but are not limited to estimates of the discounted future after-tax cash flows expected to be derived from the Company's mining properties, costs to sell the properties and the appropriate discount rate. Reductions in metal price forecasts, increases in estimated future costs of production, increases in estimated future capital costs, reductions in the amount of recoverable mineral reserves and mineral resources and/or adverse current economics can result in a write-down of the carrying amounts of the Company's exploration and evaluation assets.

Estimation of decommissioning and restoration costs and the timing of expenditure

The cost estimates are updated annually to reflect known developments, (e.g. revisions to cost estimates and to the estimated lives of operations), and are subject to review at regular intervals. Decommissioning, restoration and similar liabilities are estimated based on the Company's interpretation of current regulatory requirements, constructive obligations and are measured at fair value. Fair value is determined based on the net present value of estimated future cash expenditures for the settlement of decommissioning, restoration or similar liabilities that may occur upon decommissioning of the mine. Such estimates are subject to change based on changes in laws and regulations and negotiations with regulatory authorities.

Income taxes and recoverability of potential deferred tax assets

In assessing the probability of realizing income tax assets recognized, management makes estimates related to expectations of future taxable income, applicable tax planning opportunities, expected timing of reversals of existing temporary differences and the likelihood that tax positions taken will be sustained upon examination by applicable tax authorities. In making its assessments, management gives additional weight to positive and negative evidence that can be objectively verified. Estimates of future taxable income are based on forecasted cash flows from operations and the application of existing tax laws in each jurisdiction. Where applicable tax laws and regulations are either unclear or subject to ongoing varying interpretations, it is reasonably possible that changes in these estimates can occur that materially affect the amounts of income tax assets recognized. Also, future changes in tax laws could limit the Company from realizing the tax benefits from the deferred tax assets. The Company reassesses unrecognized income tax assets at each reporting period.

Critical Accounting Estimates (Continued)

Share-based Payments

Management determines costs for share-based payments using market-based valuation techniques. The fair value of the market-based and performance-based share awards are determined at the date of grant using generally accepted valuation techniques. Assumptions are made and judgment used in applying valuation techniques. These assumptions and judgments include estimating the future volatility of the stock price, expected dividend yield, future employee turnover rates and future employee stock option exercise behaviors and corporate performance. Such judgments and assumptions are inherently uncertain. Changes in these assumptions affect the fair value estimates.

Changes in Accounting Policies

Current Accounting Changes

Please refer to Note 4 of the financial statements for a summary of current accounting policy changes.

IFRS 9 Financial Instruments: Classification and Measurement ("IFRS 9"), effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2015, with early adoption permitted, introduces new requirements for the classification and measurement of financial instruments. Management anticipates that this standard will be adopted in the Company's consolidated financial statements for the period beginning October 1, 2013, and has not yet considered the potential impact of the adoption of IFRS 9.

IFRS 10 Consolidated Financial Statements ("IFRS 10") provides a single model to be applied in the control analysis for all investees, including entities that currently are special purpose entities in the scope of SIC 12. In addition, the consolidation procedures are carried forward substantially unmodified from IAS 27 Consolidated and Separate Financial Statements. The Company intends to adopt IFRS 10 in its consolidated financial statements for the annual period beginning on October 1, 2013. The Company has not yet determined the impact of the amendments to IFRS 10 on its consolidated financial statements.

IFRS 11 Joint Arrangements ("IFRS 11") replaces the guidance in IAS 31 *Interests in Joint Ventures*. Under IFRS 11, joint arrangements are classified as either joint operations or joint ventures. IFRS 11 essentially carves out of previous jointly controlled entities, those arrangements which although structured through a separate vehicle, such separation is ineffective and the parties to the arrangement have rights to the assets and obligations for the liabilities and are accounted for as joint operations in a fashion consistent with jointly controlled assets/operations under IAS 31. In addition, under IFRS 11 joint ventures are stripped of the free choice of equity accounting or proportionate consolidation; these entities must now use the equity method.

Upon application of IFRS 11, entities which had previously accounted for joint ventures using proportionate consolidation shall collapse the proportionately consolidated net asset value (including any allocation of goodwill) into a single investment balance at the beginning of the earliest period presented. The investment's opening balance is tested for impairment in accordance with IAS 28 *Investments in Associates* and IAS 36 *Impairment of Assets*. Any impairment losses are recognized as an adjustment to opening retained earnings at the beginning of the earliest period presented. The Company intends to adopt IFRS 11 in its financial statements for the annual period beginning on October 1, 2013. The Company has not yet determined the impact of the amendments to IFRS 11 on its consolidated financial statements.

IFRS 13 Fair Value Measurement converges IFRS and US GAAP on how to measure fair value and the related fair value disclosures. The new standard creates a single source of guidance for fair value measurements, where fair value is required or permitted under IFRS, by not changing how fair value is used but how it is measured. The focus will be on an exit price. IFRS 13 is effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013, with early adoption permitted. The Company has not yet determined the impact of the amendments to IFRS 13 on its consolidated financial statements.

Changes in Accounting Policies (Continued)

In December 2010, the IASB issued an amendment to IAS 12 – Income taxes (“IAS 12”) that provides a practical solution to determining the recovery of investment properties as it relates to the accounting for deferred income taxes. This amendment is effective for annual periods beginning on or after July 1, 2011, with earlier adoption permitted. The Company has chosen not to early adopt and does not anticipate this amendment to have a significant impact on its consolidated financial statements.

IAS 1 Presentation of Financial Statements (“IAS 1”) was amended by the IASB in June 2011 in order to align the presentation of items in other comprehensive income with US GAAP standards. Items in other comprehensive income will be required to be presented in two categories: items that will be reclassified into profit or loss and those that will not be reclassified. The flexibility to present a statement of comprehensive income as one statement or two separate statements of profit and loss and other comprehensive income remains unchanged. The amendments to IAS 1 are effective for annual periods beginning on or after July 1, 2012. The Company has not yet determined the impact of the amendment on its consolidated financial statements.

Financial Instruments

The Company is required to disclose information about the fair value of its financial assets and liabilities. Fair value estimates are made at the date of the statement of financial position, based on relevant market information and information about the financial instrument. These estimates are subjective in nature and involve uncertainties in significant matters of judgment and therefore cannot be determined with precision. Changes in assumptions could significantly affect these estimates.

The carrying amounts of cash, receivables and accounts payable and accrued liabilities on the consolidated statement of financial position approximate fair market value because of the limited term of these instruments. The Company's cash equivalents classified as held-for trading are carried at fair value. The fair value is determined by reference to observable inputs other than quoted prices in active markets for identical assets.

The Company does not believe it is exposed to significant interest, currency or credit risk arising from these financial instruments.

Proposed Transactions

The Company continues to review and assess possible transactions.

Contingencies

The Company does not have any contingencies or commitments other than those disclosed in the notes to the condensed consolidated interim financial statements.

Subsequent Events

There are no material subsequent events other than those disclosed in the notes to the condensed consolidated interim financial statements.

Management's Responsibility for Financial Statements

The information provided in this report, including the financial statements, is the responsibility of management. In the preparation of these statements, estimates are sometimes necessary to make a determination of future values for certain assets or liabilities. Management believes such estimates have been based on careful judgements and have been properly reflected in the financial statements.

Other MD&A Requirements

As at May 22, 2013, the Company has 43,449,750 shares outstanding or 73,511,725 shares on a fully diluted basis. If the Company were to issue 26,402,000 upon conversion of all of its outstanding warrants and 3,659,975 upon conversion of its outstanding options, it would raise \$11,968,595.

CANADA COAL INC.

CORPORATE DATA

May 22, 2013

EXECUTIVE OFFICE

Suite 605, 1166 Alberni Street
Vancouver, BC V6E 3Z3
Tel: (604) 638-0973
Fax: (604) 638-0971
info@canadacoal.com

SOLICITORS

Aird & Berlis LLP
Brookfield Place
Suite 1800, Box 754
181 Bay Street
Toronto, Ontario M5J 2T9
Tel: (416) 863-1500
Fax: (416) 863-1515

REGISTRAR & TRANSFER AGENT

Computershare Investor Services
1510 Burrard Street, 3rd Floor
Vancouver, BC V6C 3B9

AUDITORS

McGovern, Hurley, Cunningham, LLP
2005 Sheppard Avenue East, Suite 300
Toronto, Ontario M2J 5B4
Phone: (416) 496-1234
Fax: (416) 496-0125

DIRECTORS AND OFFICERS

R. Bruce Duncan	Executive Chairman
Braam Jonker	CEO/President
Senator Michael McDonald	Director
Richard Klue	Director
Tom Fenton	Director/Corporate Secretary
William Lindqvist	Director
Olga Nikitovic	CFO

INVESTOR CONTACTS

R. Bruce Duncan
(905) 813-8408

CAPITALIZATION

Authorized:	Unlimited
Issued:	43,449,750
Escrow:	5,700,000